

1 PAUL W. BLAKE
 2 Attorney at Law
 3 State Bar #94963
 4 4045 Bonita Road, Suite 202
 5 Bonita, California 91902
 6 (619) 908-6429/FAX (619) 578-2805

7
 8 Attorney for Defendant JOSE GUADALUPE-MAGDALENO
 9

10
 11
 12
 13
 14
**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 (HON. JOHN A. HOUSTON)**

15
 16 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) Case No. 08-CR-2718-JAH
 17 Plaintiff,)
 18 v.) NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION
 19 JOSE GUADALUPE-MAGDALENO,) FOR DISCOVERY AND FOR LEAVE
 20 Defendant.) TO FILE FURTHER MOTIONS
 21
 22
 23
 24
 25
 26
 27
 28

TO: PLAINTIFF UNITED STATES OF AMERICA AND ITS ATTORNEY OF
 RECORD, KAREN P. HEWITT, UNITED STATES ATTORNEY FOR THE SOUTHERN
 DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA; AND HER DESIGNATE

Please take notice that at the above designated time and place, defendant Jose
 Guadalupe-Magdaleno will move the court for an order compelling discovery in the instant
 case with respect to the items set forth in the attached memorandum of law, based upon the
 constitutional, statutory, and judicial authorities cited herein. Defense counsel will further
 move the court for leave to file further motions.

///

///

///

///

///

1 Said motion is based upon the instant notice of motion, the attached memorandum of
2 law, and on such evidence and argument as may be presented at the hearing on the motion.
3

4 Date: September 8, 2008 Respectfully submitted,
5

6 /s/ Paul W. Blake
7 PAUL W. BLAKE
8 Attorney for Defendant JOSE GUADALUPE-MAGDALENO
4045 Bonita Road, Suite 202
Bonita, California 91902
(619) 908-6429 (tel)
(619) 578-2805 (fax)
paulwblake@cox.net

10
11 1:\Blake\Guadalupe-Magdaleno.MFD-not
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

1 PAUL W. BLAKE
2 Attorney at Law
3 State Bar #94963
4 4045 Bonita Road, Suite 202
5 Bonita, California 91902
6 (619) 908-6429/FAX (619) 578-2805

7
8 Attorney for Defendant JOSE GUADALUPE-MAGDALENO
9

10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
(HON. JOHN A. HOUSTON)**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) Case No. 08-CR-2718-JAH
Plaintiff,)
v.) NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION
JOSE GUADALUPE-MAGDALENO,) FOR DISCOVERY AND FOR LEAVE
Defendant.) TO FILE FURTHER MOTIONS

DATE: September 22, 2008
TIME: 8:30 a.m.
DEPT: Hon. John A. Houston

TO: PLAINTIFF UNITED STATES OF AMERICA AND ITS ATTORNEY OF
RECORD, KAREN P. HEWITT, UNITED STATES ATTORNEY FOR THE SOUTHERN
DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA; AND HER DESIGNATE

Please take notice that at the above designated time and place, defendant Jose
Guadalupe-Magdaleno will move the court for an order compelling discovery in the instant
case with respect to the items set forth in the attached memorandum of law, based upon the
constitutional, statutory, and judicial authorities cited herein. Defense counsel will further
move the court for leave to file further motions.

///
///
///
///
///
///

1 Said motion is based upon the instant notice of motion, the attached memorandum of
2 law, and on such evidence and argument as may be presented at the hearing on the motion.
3

4 Date: September 8, 2008 Respectfully submitted,
5

6 /s/ Paul W. Blake
7 PAUL W. BLAKE
8 Attorney for Defendant JOSE GUADALUPE-MAGDALENO
9 4045 Bonita Road, Suite 202
10 Bonita, California 91902
11 (619) 908-6429 (tel)
12 (619) 578-2805 (fax)
13 paulwblake@cox.net

14 1:\Blake\Guadalupe-Magdaleno.MFD-not
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

PAUL W. BLAKE
Attorney at Law
State Bar #94963
4045 Bonita Road, Suite 202
Bonita, California 91902
(619) 908-6429/FAX (619) 578-2805

Attorney for Defendant JOSE GUADALUPE-MAGDALENO

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
(HON. JOHN A. HOUSTON)

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) Case No. 08-CR-2718-JAH
Plaintiff,)) MEMORANDUM OF LAW IN
v.)) SUPPORT OF MOTIONS FOR
JOSE GUADALUPE-MAGDALENO,)) DISCOVERY AND FOR LEAVE TO
Defendant.)) FILE FURTHER MOTIONS

GENERAL DISCOVERY

I.

MOTION TO COMPEL DISCOVERY AND PRESERVE EVIDENCE

Defendant moves for the production by the government of the following discovery and for the preservation of evidence. This request is not limited to those items that the prosecutor knows of, but rather includes all discovery listed below that is in the custody, control, care or knowledge of any government agency. See generally Kyles v. Whitley, 514 U.S. 419 (1995); United States v. Bryan, 868 F.2d 1032 (9th Cir. 1989).

(1) The defendant's statements. The Government must disclose to the defendant all copies of any written or recorded statements made by the defendant; the substance of any statements made by the defendant which the government intends to offer in evidence at trial; any response by the defendant to interrogation; the substance of any oral statements which the government intends to introduce at trial and any written summaries of the defendant's

1 oral statements contained in the handwritten notes of the government agent; any response to
 2 any Miranda warnings which may have been given to the defendant; as well as any other
 3 statements by the defendant. Fed.R.Crim.P. 16(a)(1)(A) and (B). The Advisory Committee
 4 notes and the 1991 amendments to Rule 16 make clear that the government must reveal all
 5 the defendant's statements, whether oral or written, regardless of whether the government
 6 intends to make any use of those statements.

7 (2) Arrest reports, notes and dispatch tapes. The defense also specifically requests
 8 that all arrest reports, notes and dispatch or any other tapes that relate to the circumstances
 9 surrounding his arrest or any questioning, if such reports have not already been produced in
 10 their entirety, be turned over. This request includes, but is not limited to, any rough notes,
 11 records, reports, transcripts or other documents in which statements of the defendant or any
 12 other discoverable material is contained. Such material is discoverable under Fed. R. Crim.
 13 P. 16(a)(1)(A) and (B) and Brady v. Maryland, 373 U.S. 83 (1963). See also Loux v. United
 14 States, 389 F.2d 911 (9th Cir. 1968). Arrest reports, investigators' notes, memos from
 15 arresting officers, dispatch tapes, sworn statements and prosecution reports pertaining to the
 16 defendant are available under Fed. R. Crim. P. 16(a)(1)(B), Fed. R. Crim. P. 26.2, and Fed.
 17 R. Crim. P. 12(h). Preservation of rough notes is requested, whether or not the government
 18 deems them discoverable.

19 (3) Brady material. Defendant requests all documents, statements, agents' reports,
 20 and tangible evidence favorable to the defendant on the issue of guilt and/or which affects the
 21 credibility of the government's case. Under Brady, impeachment as well as exculpatory
 22 evidence falls within the definition of evidence favorable to the accused. United States v.
 23 Bagley, 473 U.S. 667 (1985); United States v. Agurs, 427 U.S. 97 (1976).

24 (4) Any information that may result in a lower sentence under the Guidelines. As
 25 discussed above, this information is discoverable under Brady v. Maryland, 373 U.S. 83
 26 (1963). This request includes any cooperation or attempted cooperation by the defendant as
 27 well as any information that could affect any base offense level or specific offense
 28 characteristic under Chapter Two of the Guidelines. Also included in this request is any

1 information relevant to a Chapter Three adjustment, to a determination of the defendant's
 2 criminal history, or to any other application of the Guidelines.

3 (5) Any information that may result in a lower sentence under 18 U.S.C. §3553.

4 After United States v. Booker, 543 U.S. ___, 125 S.Ct. 738 (2005), the Guidelines are merely
 5 advisory and federal sentencing is governed by 18 U.S.C. §3553, which requires a judge to
 6 consider "any information about the nature of the circumstances of the offense." 18 U.S.C.
 7 §3553(a)(1). This broad range of judicial discretion, combined with the mandate that "[n]o
 8 limitation shall be placed on the information concerning the background, character, and
 9 conduct of a person convicted of an offense which a court of the United States may receive
 10 and consider for the purpose of imposing an appropriate sentence," 18 U.S.C. §3661, means
 11 that any information whatsoever may be "material . . . to punishment," Brady, 373 U.S. at 87,
 12 whether or not the government deems it discoverable.

13 (6) The Defendant's prior record. Evidence of prior record is available under
 14 Fed.R.Crim.P. 16(a)(1)(D). Counsel specifically requests that the copy be complete and
 15 legible.

16 (7) Any proposed 404(b) evidence. Evidence of prior similar acts is discoverable
 17 under Fed. R. Crim. P. 16(a)(1)(E) and Fed. R. Evid. 404(b) and 609. In addition, under Fed.
 18 R. Evid. 404(b), "upon request of the accused, the prosecution . . . shall provide reasonable
 19 notice in advance of trial . . . of the general nature . . ." of any evidence the government
 20 proposes to introduce under Fed. R. Evid 404(b) at trial. The defendant requests that such
 21 notice be given three weeks before trial in order to give the defense time to adequately
 22 investigate and prepare for trial.

23 (8) Evidence seized. Evidence seized as a result of any search, either warrantless
 24 or with a warrant, is discoverable under Fed. R. Crim. P. 16(a)(1)(E).

25 (9) Request for preservation of evidence. The defense specifically requests that all
 26 dispatch tapes or any other physical evidence that may be destroyed, lost or otherwise put out
 27 of the possession, custody or care of the government, and which relate to the arrest or the
 28 events leading to the arrest in this case, be preserved. This request includes, but is not

1 limited to, the results of any fingerprint analysis, alleged narcotics, the defendant's personal
 2 effects, the vehicle, and any other evidence seized from the defendant, or any third party. It
 3 is requested that the government be ordered to question all the agencies and individuals
 4 involved in the prosecution and investigation of this case to determine if such evidence
 5 exists, and if it does exist, to inform those parties to preserve any such evidence.

6 (10) Tangible objects. The defense requests, under Fed. R. Crim. P. 16(a)(1)(E) the
 7 opportunity to inspect and copy as well as test, if necessary, all other documents and tangible
 8 objects, including photographs, books, papers, documents, photographs of buildings or places
 9 or copies of portions thereof which are material to the defense or intended for use in the
 10 government's case-in-chief or were obtained from or belong to the defendant.

11 (11) Evidence of bias or motive to lie. The defense requests any evidence that any
 12 prospective government witness is biased or prejudiced against the defendant, or has a
 13 motive to falsify or distort his or her testimony. Pennsylvania v. Ritchie, 480 U.S. 39 (1987);
 14 United States v. Strifler, 851 F.2d 1197 (9th Cir. 1988).

15 (12) Impeachment evidence. Defendant requests any evidence that any prospective
 16 government witness has engaged in any criminal act whether or not resulting in a conviction
 17 and whether any witness has made a statement favorable to the defendant. See Fed.R.Evid.
 18 608, 609 and 613. Such evidence is discoverable under Brady v. Maryland. See United
 19 States v. Strifler, 851 F.2d 1197 (9th Cir. 1988) (witness' prior record); Thomas v. United
 20 States, 343 F.2d 49 (9th Cir. 1965) (evidence that detracts from a witness' credibility).

21 (13) Evidence of criminal investigation of any government witness. The defense
 22 requests any evidence that any prospective witness is under investigation by federal, state or
 23 local authorities for any criminal conduct. United States v. Chitty, 760 F.2d 425 (2d Cir.
 24 1985).

25 (14) Evidence affecting perception, recollection, ability to communicate. Defendant
 26 requests any evidence, including any medical or psychiatric report or evaluation, tending to
 27 show that any prospective witness' ability to perceive, remember, communicate, or tell the
 28 truth is impaired; and any evidence that a witness has ever used narcotics or other controlled

1 substances, or has ever been an alcoholic. United States v. Strifler, 851 F.2d 1197 (9th Cir.
 2 1988); Chavis v. North Carolina, 637 F.2d 213, 224 (4th Cir. 1980).

3 (15) Witness addresses. The defense requests the name and last known address of
 4 each prospective government witness. See United States v. Napue, 834 F.2d 1311 (7th Cir.
 5 1987); United States v. Tucker, 716 F.2d 576 (9th Cir. 1983) (failure to interview
 6 government witnesses by counsel is ineffective); United States v. Cook, 608 F.2d 1175, 1181
 7 (9th Cir. 1979), overruled on other grounds by Luce v. United States, 469 U.S. 38 (1984)
 8 (defense has equal right to talk to witnesses). The defendant also requests the name and last
 9 known address of every witness to the crime or crimes charged (or any of the overt acts
 10 committed in furtherance thereof) who will not be called as a government witness. United
 11 States v. Cadet, 727 F.2d 1453 (9th Cir. 1984).

12 (16) Name of witnesses favorable to the defendant. The defense requests the name
 13 of any witness who made any arguably favorable statement concerning the defendant or who
 14 could not identify him or who was unsure of his identity, or participation in the crime
 15 charged. Jackson v. Wainwright, 390 F.2d 288 (5th Cir. 1968); Chavis v. North Carolina,
 16 637 F.2d 213, 223 (4th Cir. 1980); Jones v. Jago, 575 F.2d 1164, 1168 (6th Cir. 1978);
 17 Hudson v. Blackburn, 601 F.2d 785 (5th Cir. 1979).

18 (17) Statements relevant to the defense. The defense requests disclosure of any
 19 statement that may be “relevant to any possible defense or contention” that he might assert.
 20 United States v. Bailleaux, 685 F.2d 1105 (9th Cir. 1982). This would include Grand Jury
 21 transcripts which are relevant to the defense motion to dismiss the indictment.

22 (18) Jencks Act Material. The defense requests all material to which defendant is
 23 entitled pursuant to the Jencks Act, 18 U.S.C. §3500, reasonably in advance of trial,
 24 including dispatch tapes. A verbal acknowledgment that “rough” notes constitute an accurate
 25 account of the witness’ interview is sufficient for the report or notes to qualify as a statement
 26 under §3500(e)(1). Campbell v. United States, 373 U.S. 487, 490-92 (1963).

27 (19) Giglio information. Pursuant to Giglio v. United States, 405 U.S. 150 (1972),
 28 the defendant requests all statements and/or promises, expressed or implied, made to any

1 government witnesses, in exchange for their testimony in this case, and all other information
2 which could arguably be used for the impeachment of any government witnesses.

3 (20) Reports of scientific tests or examinations. Pursuant to Fed. R. Crim. P.
4 16(a)(1)(F), the defendant requests the reports of all tests and examinations conducted upon
5 the evidence in this case. Including but not limited to any fingerprint testing done upon any
6 evidence seized in this case, that is within the possession, custody or control of the
7 government, the existence of which is known, or by the exercise of due diligence may
8 become known, to the attorney for the government, and which are material to the preparation
9 of the defense or are intended for use by the government as evidence in chief at the trial.

10 (21) Henthorn material. The defendant requests that the prosecutor review the
11 personnel files of the officers involved in his arrest, and those who will testify, and produce
12 to him any exculpatory information at least two weeks prior to trial and one week prior to the
13 motion hearing. This includes all citizen complaints and other related internal affairs
14 documents involving any of the immigration officers or other law enforcement officers who
15 were involved in the investigation, arrest and interrogation of defendant. See United States
16 v. Henthorn, 931 F.2d 29 (9th Cir. 1991). In addition, he requests that if the government is
17 uncertain whether certain information is to be turned over pursuant to this request, that it
18 produce such information to the court in advance of the trial and the motion hearing for an in
19 camera inspection.

20 (22) Informants and cooperating witnesses. The defense requests disclosure of the
21 names and addresses of any informants or cooperating witnesses used or to be used in this
22 case. The government must disclose the informant's identity and location, as well as disclose
23 the existence of any other percipient witness unknown or unknowable to the defense.
24 Roviaro v. United States, 353 U.S. 53, 61-62 (1957). The defense also requests disclosure of
25 any information indicating bias on the part of any informant or cooperating witness. Giglio
26 v. United States, 405 U.S. 150 (1972). Such information would include what, if any,
27 inducements, favors, payments or threats were made to the witness to secure cooperation
28 with the authorities.

(23) Expert witness. Pursuant to Fed. R. Crim. P. 16(a)(1)(G), the defendant requests a written summary of the expert testimony that the government intends to use at trial, including a description of the witnesses' opinions, the bases and the reasons for those opinions, and the witnesses' qualifications.

(24) Residual request. The defense intends by this discovery motion to invoke his rights to discovery to the fullest extent possible under the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure and the Constitution and laws of the United States. This request specifically includes all subsections of Rule 16. Defendant requests that the government provide him and his attorney with the above requested material sufficiently in advance of trial.

III.

LEAVE TO FILE FURTHER MOTIONS

A continuance may be warranted in this matter due to the fact that further discovery is required in order to adequately prepare motions; at this date little discovery has been provided. Accordingly, defendant prays for leave to file further motions should such motions be warranted.

/s/ Paul W. Blake
PAUL W. BLAKE
Attorney for JOSE GUADALUPE-MAGDALENO
4045 Bonita Road, Suite 202
Bonita, California 91902
(619) 908-6429 (tel)
(619) 578-2805 (fax)
paulwblake@cox.net

1:\Blake\Guadalupe-Magdaleno.MFDisc-MOL

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Counsel for Defendant certifies that the foregoing pleading is true and accurate to the best of his information and belief, and that a copy of the foregoing documents:

**NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION FOR DISCOVERY; MEMORANDUM OF
LAW IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR DISCOVERY AND LEAVE TO FILE
OTHER MOTIONS**

was served on September 8, 2008, upon the below named parties:

MAILING INFORMATION FOR A CASE 08-CR-2718-JAH

1. Electronic Mail Notice List

The following are those who are currently on the list to receive email notices for this case.

Sabrina L. Feve, sabrina.feve@usdoj.gov, efile.dkt.gc1@usdoj.gov

Date: September 8, 2008 PAUL W. BLAKE
Attorney for Defendant
4045 Bonita Road, Suite 100
Bonita, California 91036
(619) 908-6429 (tel)
(619) 578-2805 (fax)
paulwblake@cox.net